

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

## MY HOME NOW, LLC,

Case No. 2:14-CV-2019 JCM (VCF)

Plaintiff(s),

## ORDER

V.

CITIBANK, N.A., et al.,

Defendant(s).

13 Presently before the court is plaintiff My Home Now's motion for summary judgment.  
14 (Doc. # 35). Defendant Citibank, N.A. filed a response, (doc. # 36), and plaintiff filed a reply.  
15 (Doc. # 39). Also before the court is defendant's countermotion for summary judgment. (Doc. #  
16 37). Plaintiff filed a response (doc. # 39), and defendant filed a reply. (Doc. #40).

17       Defendant seeks summary judgment on the grounds that Nevada Revised Statute 116.3116  
18 is facially unconstitutional. (Docs. #36, 37). A party that files a motion calling into question the  
19 constitutionality of a state statute must promptly “file a notice of constitutional question stating  
20 the question and identifying the paper that raises it, if . . . the parties do not include the state, one  
21 of its agencies, or one of its officers or employees in an official capacity . . . .” Fed. R. Civ. P.  
22 5.1(a)(1)(B).

23        The party must serve the notice on the state attorney general “by certified or registered mail  
24 or by sending it to an electronic address designated by the attorney general for this purpose.” Fed.  
25 R. Civ. P. 5.1(a)(2). Defendant has provided no proof of compliance with this rule.

26 Rule 5.1 also requires the court to “certify to the appropriate attorney general that a statute  
27 has been questioned” under 28 U.S.C. § 2403. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(b). This statute states that the

1 court "shall permit the State to intervene for presentation of evidence, if evidence is otherwise  
2 admissible in the case, and for argument on the question of constitutionality." 28 U.S.C. § 2403(b).

3 In light of the foregoing rule and statute, the court will deny the parties' motions for  
4 summary judgment without prejudice to allow defendant and the court to comply and the attorney  
5 general to intervene. The parties may renew their motions after the attorney general has been  
6 afforded time to respond and upon showing compliance with the notice requirement of Rule 5.1(a).

7 Accordingly,

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for  
9 summary judgment (docs. #35), be, and the same hereby is, DENIED without prejudice.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion for summary judgment (doc. #37),  
11 be and the same hereby is, DENIED without prejudice.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant shall provide notice to the Nevada attorney  
13 general in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1, and include proof of such notice  
14 upon filing any further motions raising constitutional challenges.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this court certifies to the Nevada attorney general that it  
16 may rule on the constitutionality of the state statute at issue in this case, NRS 116.3116. The  
17 attorney general shall have thirty (30) days within which to intervene on behalf of the state of  
18 Nevada for presentation of argument on the question of the constitutionality of the statute.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court shall send a copy of this order by  
20 certified mail to the Nevada attorney general.

21  
22 DATED April 14, 2016.

23   
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28